Optimized automated lesion segmentation method for Multiple Sclerosis: validation and comparison with state-of-the-art methods on a 3D-FLAIR public dataset with multi-rater consensus.

Philippe Tran^{*†1,2}, Urielle Thoprakarn², Emmanuelle Gourieux³, Clarisse Longo Dos Santos², Didier Dormont¹, Marie Chupin³, and Jean-Baptiste Martini²

¹Institut du Cerveau et de la Moëlle Epinière = Brain and Spine Institute (ICM) – Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale : U1127, CHU Pitié-Salpêtrière [APHP], Sorbonne Universite : UM75, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique : UMR7225 – 47-83 Boulevard de lHôpital 75651 Paris Cedex 13, France

²Qynapse – Qynapse – 130 rue de Lourmel, Paris, France, France

³CATI Multicenter Neuroimaging Platform (CATI) – Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale - INSERM : U1117, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC, CNRS : UMR7225 – France

Résumé

Magnetic resonance imaging has become crucial for diagnosis and disease monitoring in multiple sclerosis (MS), and White Matter Hyperintensities (WMH) on FLAIR are considered a marker of MS. The White matter Hyperintensities Automatic Segmentation Algorithm (WHASA) (Samaille et al., 2012) has been developed for age-related WMH on 2D images, but needs to be optimized for 3D-FLAIR and MS patients. 3D-FLAIR acquisitions can yield differences in grey and white matter contrast compared to 2D images. Furthermore, MS lesions show differences in intensity levels compared to age-related WMH. This study focuses on the improvements resulting from optimization and the comparison with three automated lesion segmentation tools: one optimized for MS (LST - Schmidt et al., 2012), and two validated on a mixed cohort, with MS and age-related WMH (LesionBrain - Manjon et al., 2016 and Lesion-TOADS - Shiee et al., 2010)

Mots-Clés: multiple sclerosis, white, matter, lesions, segmentation

*Intervenant

 $^{^{\}dagger} Auteur \ correspondant: \ ptran@qynapse.com$